boundaries and the extent of the shares of each shareholder.

Mr. M. K. Krishnamurti suggested that steps might be taken to introduce in inam villages only Survey without Settlement operations which, in many cases, introduced discord in the relations between the inamdars and their tenants.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 16.

A Regulation for controlling Kandharis, Kabulis, etc.

Mr. C. Narasimhaiya, Mysore District Board.

Summary of discussion.—It was represented last year in Subject No. 22 that a Regulation should be framed to control rowdy characters such as Kandharis, Kabulis and Beluchis and the Government was pleased to note the subject for its consideration. After the subject was discussed, a gang of Beluchis or Kandharis who were implicated in a dacoity case were arrested near Closepet. It is prayed that necessary steps be taken immediately to prevent further mischief.

Mr. C. Narasimhaiya and other members represented the hardship experienced by people in towns and villages owing to the troubles caused by gangs of Kandharis, etc., and stated that the Police and Magistracy who were few in number were powerless to curb their mischievous tendencies.

Reply of Government.—The matter will be considered. Instructions will be issued to District Officers inviting their attention to Section 43 of the Police Regulation and asking them to enforce the provisions thereof whenever necessary.

SUBJECT No. 17.

Amendment to Guardians and Wards Regulation.

Mr. C Krishnamurti, Law Association, Bangalore.

Summary of discussion.—Amendment of Section 34 of the Guardians and Wards Regulation, V of 1911, so as to enable the Court to proceed against the surety without the necessity of obtaining the assignment of the surety bond, is prayed for.

Reply of Government.—Noted.

SUBJECT No. 18.

Amendment of the Court Fees Regulation.

Messrs. J. Subba Rao, Krishisil pabhivardhaka Samaj, Chitaldrug, and M. B. Krishna Rao, Chitaldrug municipality.

Summary of discussion.—In suits for the possession of lands, court fee is levied on the assessment thereof for five years. But in suits for the possession of houses and building sites, it is levied on their market value. In assessing their value much time is lost, not to speak of the inconvenience caused to the parties. Besides, under the present system difficult questions of jurisdiction often arise in courts. It is therefore prayed that a stamp fee of five times the mohatarfa assessment be ordered to be levied.

Reply of Government.—Cannot be done.